

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,305	09/27/2006	Taro Suzuki	Q95395	2352
2337) 7590 12/16/2008 SUGHRUE MIO, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			KASTURI, SRIRAM	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/585,305 SUZUKI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit SRIRAM KASTURI 1612 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 August 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bureau (PC*) See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the company of the compan	
dee the attached detailed office action of a list of the	a contined copies not received.
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/05) Paper Nos/Mail Date	4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) ☐ Other:

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' arguments, filed 08/13/08, have been fully considered. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Status of the claims

Claims 1-20 are pending and are examined on the merits herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 and 8-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pearl et al (US 2002/0182184 A1).

Pearl et al teach compositions for the safe removal of indoor allergens. Their cleaning composition comprises a wetting agent, a neutralizing agent, a surfactant-encapsulating agent, at least one embrittling agent and water. The applied composition

Application/Control Number: 10/585,305

Art Unit: 1612

dries and subsequently the allergens can be removed (Abstract). The embrittling agents include acrylic copolymers such as water-borne carboxylated acrylic copolymer, water-borne sodium acrylate copolymer, water-borne styrene acrylate copolymer, and these agents can be used either alone or in combination. Concentration of these water-borne polymer is about 0% to about 10% of the composition (Page 3, Para 0033, lines 1-12). Since these polymers are the same polymers preferred by applicant (see and compare paragraph 0033 of the prior art with the instant specification at the last paragraph of page 7, for example), they would reasonably be expected to inherently possess the same chemical and physical properties, including having a melting point of 40 degrees C or greater as required by instant claim 2. (This reasoning is reinforced by the fact that the prior art polymers can be used in environments having elevated temperatures, e.g., laundries, as taught at the penultimate sentence of paragraph 0012 of the prior art).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Art Unit: 1612

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 9 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Pearl et al (US 2002/0182184 A1).

The prior art is discussed above. Its teachings are not specific about the weight % of hydrophilic polymer with weight % of allergen suppressing component.

Concentrations of their embrittling agents and surfactant-encapsulating agent, sodium lauryl sulfate are expressed as wt % in total composition as described above. Their water-borne hydrophilic polymer can in general be present in at least about 40 weight %

Application/Control Number: 10/585,305

Art Unit: 1612

compared to allergen suppressing component, however. See the general ranges disclosed at paragraphs 0025 and 0033, for example.

Even a slight overlap in range establishes a *prima facie* case of obviousness. In re Peterson, 65 USPQ2d 1379, 1382 (Fed. Cir. 2003). Here, the overlap in ranges is certainly specific enough to reasonably suggest the instantly claimed percentages, and accordingly it would have been obvious to have arrived at those percentages simply by following the general teachings of the reference.

Conclusion

Claims 1-20 are rejected. No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SRIRAM KASTURI whose telephone number is (571)270-5263. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frederick Krass can be reached on 571-272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

Application/Control Number: 10/585,305 Page 6

Art Unit: 1612

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sriram Kasturi/ Patent Examiner Art Unit 1612

/Frederick Krass/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612